1	Matthew F. Miller, Bar No. 172661				
2	mmiller@cbmlaw.com Robert J. Nolan, Bar No. 235738				
3	rnolan@cbmlaw.com				
	Aengus H. Carr, Bar No. 240953 acarr@cbmlaw.com				
4	CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH LLP Attorneys at Law				
5	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400				
6	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: 415.989.5900				
7	Facsimile: 415.989.0932				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	AMEC ENVIRONMENT &	Case No. CV 12-01735 SC (NC)			
	INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.,	` '			
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING INITIAL STEPS TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY DISPUTE			
14	V.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59			
15		Re. DRt. 108. 33, 36-37			
16	INTEGRAL CONSULTING, INC., EDWARD P. CONTI, an individual,				
17	MATTHEW HILLYARD, an individual,				
	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20	This order provides the initial actions to be performed by Defendant Integral Consulting,				
21	Inc. ("Integral") following hearing on November 6, 2013. On October 18, 2013, October 25, 2013				
22	and October 30, 2013, the parties filed letter briefs concerning AMEC's turnover demands for				
23	documents obtained by the individual defendants during employment with AMEC and retained				
- 1					

subject discovery, the Court ORDERS as follows:

• Within two (2) days of the issuance of this order, Defendants will make available for inspection by FTI Consulting ("the Consultant") each of the electronic media storage

thereafter and related discovery. Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59. In order to educate AMEC and the Court

concerning those documents contained on devices identified by Integral as responsive to AMEC's

28

25

26

27

CARROLL, BURDICK & McDonough LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO CBM-SF\SF607596-1

	I			
1		devices identified in Defendant's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 9		
2		("Devices.")		
3	•	With the exception of those two (2) Devices for which Integral represents that all		
4		documents contained thereon have previously been produced to the Plaintiff, the		
5		Consultant shall examine each Device and will, within five (5) business days of receipt of		
6		the Devices from Defendants, provide the parties with an identical report identifying each		
7		Device, the actions that the Consultant took with respect to each Device, and an index of		
8		all files contained on each Device (the "File Index.")		
9	•	The File Index will include entries for all files contained on each Device, including any		
10		deleted or overwritten files identifiable from an examination of the Device's Master File		
11		Table or other Master Index, where one exists. Compressed or container files, including		
12		but not limited to, ".PST" and ".ZIP" files will be extracted into their component member		
13		files (such as ".msg" files) and a record for each component file entered in the File Index.		
14	•	The File Index will be compiled in MS Excel format with distinct columns for each of the		
15		following metadata fields (as appropriate for each record in the File Index):		
16		 Device make, model, serial number and size 		
17		 Custodian 		
18		■ Title		
19		■ File name		
20		File path		
21		 PST folder 		
22		■ File extension		
23		■ File size		
24		From or Sender (including domain)		
25		To (including domain)		
26		• CC		
27		■ BCC		
28		Subject		

Case 3:12-cv-01735-SC Document 62 Filed 11/07/13 Page 3 of 3

- 1			
1		Attachment Count	
2		Date Sent	
3		Date Received	
4		Date Created	
5		Date Last Accessed	
6		Date Modified	
7		Date Last Printed	
8		Author	
9	• With r	respect to those two (2) Devices from which Integral has represented that all	
10	docum	nents have previously been produced to Plaintiff, Integral will within five (5) days of	
11	the iss	uance of this order, provide Plaintiff with an index of the production Bates numbers	
12	of thos	se documents previously produced, identifying the Device from which said	
13	documents were produced.		
14	Defendants are responsible for any and all fees, costs and expenses associated with the		
15	Consu	ltant's examination of the Devices and production of the report to the parties.	
16			
17	IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
18		vember 7, 2013	
19	DATED: No	vember <u>7</u> , 2013	
20		E ANTED	
21		GRANTED S	
22		VAET COUSINS VICTORIANS VICTORIAN	
23		Judge Nathanael M. Cousins Fig. 7 EA TE JUDGE	
24			
25		PRINTERIOT OF CENTRAL CONTROL CONTROL OF CENTRAL CONTROL CON	
26			
27			
28			

CARROLL, BURDICK &
MCDONOUGH LLP
ATIORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO